P.R. No. 3652/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Verma
dated 12/12/06

(4)

In the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur.

SINGLE BENCH

W.P.No. 3581 2006

Petitioner - Yashwant Kumar Dewangan, Age 52 years,
S/o Late Shri Mahavir Dewangan,
Assistant Grade III
P.W.D., Patan Sub Division,
Patan, Distt. Durg .

Versus

Respondents

1. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Through – The Secretary
Govt. C.G. Public Works Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Superintending Engineer,
P.W.D. Durg Circle, Durg (C.G.)

RECEIPT COPY
17/1/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

Writ Petition Under Articles 226/227 of The Constitution Of India for the issuance of WRIT in the nature of MANDAMUS certiorari, Prohibition and for other suitable writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions.

(24)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.3581/ **सन्** 200 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20-7-2006

Mr. R.S. Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Durg to Bemetara.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Assistant Grade-III in Public Works Department at Durg Division. He was appointed in the year 1975. The main grievance of the petitioner is that juniors to the petitioner who are working since 25 years in Durg Division have not been transferred and the petitioner has been transferred which is bad. Other employees who are working in Durg Division since 1980 have been retained in Durg Division. The petitioner is suffering from Blood Sugar, as such, he has to take precaution in food and he is required to keep himself away from mental tension.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3581/ **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of its receipt, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2772/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

P.T.O.